

Critical Amount of Resource in Containing Catastrophic Epidemics

Xiaolong Chen,^{1,2,3} Tianshou Zhou,⁴ Ling Feng,⁵ Chun Yang,³ Moming Wang,⁶ Xiaoming Fan,⁶ and Yanqing Hu^{1,*}

¹*School of Data and Computer Science, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou 510006, China*

²*Web Sciences Center, University of Electronic Science and Technology of China, Chengdu 611731, China*

³*School of Mathematics, University of Electronic Science and Technology of China, Chengdu 611731, China*

⁴*School of Mathematics, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou 510006, China*

⁵*Institute of High Performance Computing, A*STAR, 138632 Singapore*

⁶*School of Mathematics, Southwest Jiaotong University, Chengdu 610031, China*

(Dated: November 2, 2016)

During an epidemic control, the containment of the disease is usually achieved through increasing public health resource to quickly identify, quarantine and cure the infected population. However, the exact impact of this resource expenditure on the physical spreading dynamics remains unknown. In this work we find that the influence of resource expenditure exhibits extreme critical behaviour, which is the first order phase transition in nature. Specifically, if the amount of invested resource is below a critical value, a significant fraction of the total population will become infected; once it is more than that critical value, the disease outbreak can be effectively eradicated or contained. This finding implies that the government expenditure on infectious disease control needs to meet a certain critical threshold to be of any effectiveness. Otherwise, the outbreak could be catastrophic. We have validated our theoretical results on one real contact network and three typical social networks, with all of them exhibiting abrupt catastrophic jumps related to a critical resource amount. Contrary to common sense, we find that such a catastrophic jump is more pronounced in the less contagious diseases, yet such diseases are usually among the most fatal ones. Moreover, we observe a non-trivial resource amount requirement, that even with a single node as infection source, public resource needs to meet a significant amount to ensure epidemic containment. Our new findings have important implications on public health policies regarding the devoted public resource into epidemic disease control.

The increase of global travel promotes the spread of epidemics and impose greater risk of the pandemics[1–3]. Especially, in recent years, epidemic outbreaks, such as Ebola, SARS, H1N1, HIV etc., had detrimental impacts on our society in both public health and economic activities [4–7]. It often depends on governments' public expenditures [8] to effectively contain these widespread infectious diseases. However, such social resource devoted is usually limited, especially in developing countries[9]. For example, in the containment of Ebola in 2014 [10], without enough resource from the international community, West Africa may be unable to contain it in the following year and will likely to endure long period suffering. Thus it is of critical importance to understand how the public expenditure impacts spreading dynamics, which could help us to find optimal strategies to contain the epidemic spreading before it reaches wider population. Most previous studies have focused on the impact of network structure[11–15], individual mobility[2, 3] or microscopic modelling of the spreading using realistic parameters [16–20], but the impact of public expenditure on the *physical spreading dynamics* has been largely overlooked. Recently, authors in Ref. [21] studied the impact of infected population on the remaining available human resource and its influence on epidemics, and found that explosive epidemic outbreaks are due to the reduced productivity output from the total population, when a significant portion of population is infected. That study

is more relevant for extremely widespread diseases such as the Spanish flu of 1918 [22], which killed 1/3 of the world population. In the modern society however, it is extremely difficult for diseases to be so widespread, due to heightened government surveillance[9, 23] and prompt containment action during the early stage[2, 24] of its spreading. Yet the diseases can still be fatal and affect the lives of thousands or even millions of people. In typical cases, the amount of public resource expenditure is of critical concern.

Cholera is one of the severe epidemic diseases that has been well studied. It has well-documented historical statistics with records ranging over the past 66 years from 1949 (see SI section I). Fig. 1 demonstrates the trend in the 3 consecutive years (1994-1996), during which cholera was the most widespread, cumulatively affecting 241 countries with 774,937 infected cases and 22,213 deaths. By analyzing the recovery rate, the infected population size and the respective countries' economic outputs, we find that the recovery rate – also denoted as 1-CFR (case fatality rate), is negatively correlated with the infected population size divided by PPP (purchasing power parity). This implies that the recovery rate is positively correlated with the average resource devoted to each infected individual. In other words, more public expenditure on fighting the epidemics results in early detection and quarantine, as well as better recovery rate, such that the infected is overall less infectious.

In order to explore the effect by the amount of resource on the epidemic control, we build upon the susceptible-infected-susceptible (SIS) [11] type of epidemic dynam-

* Corresponding author. Email: yanqing.hu.sc@gmail.com

ics, which can well model disease spreading. With strong motivation from our empirical evidence from cholera (Fig. 1), we introduce the resource parameter into the SIS dynamics through time varying recovery rate dependent on the average resource each infected individual gets. This drastically changes the spreading dynamics and its outcome. Essentially, we show that inadequate resource leads to an abrupt jump in the final infected population size. Such first order phase transition induced by the resource amount is in stark contrast to the continuous transition in previous findings [11, 14, 25]. It implies that the public resource expenditure has a critical behavior: When it is above this critical value, the disease could be effectively eradicated or contained, otherwise it only slightly reduces the infected population size and cannot contain the outbreak. We demonstrate analytically the origin of such first order phase transition on both artificial and real networks.

In the SIS dynamics, an individual switches between the ‘susceptible’ state and the ‘infected’ state. We first illustrate the basic mathematical framework of the SIS model that is common in the literature, and then introduce the resource constraint model based on our empirical finding in Fig. 1. In the system of N individuals embedded in a network, an infected individual (or node) has a probability of β to spread the disease to a neighbour to which it shares a link. At the same time, the infected node has a probability of $\mu(t)$ to recover to a susceptible state, such that it does not carry the disease until it is reinfected again. Therefore the probability $p_i(t)$ that a node i is infected at time t can be described by the following dynamical equation [11, 14, 26]:

$$\frac{dp_i(t)}{dt} = (1 - q_i(t))(1 - p_i(t)) - \mu(t)p_i(t), \quad (1)$$

where $q_i(t)$ is the probability that node i is not infected by any of its neighbours:

$$q_i(t) = \prod_{j=1}^N (1 - a_{ij}\beta p_j(t)). \quad (2)$$

The parameter a_{ij} is a binary value in the adjacency matrix of the network. It takes 1 when node j shares a link with node i , and takes 0 if otherwise. One of the key quantities of interest is the final infected population size as a fraction of the total population in the steady state, defined as:

$$\rho(t) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N p_i(t). \quad (3)$$

In reality, the total amount of resource R is related to the wealth of a country that is fixed at the level related to the purchasing power parity per capita (PPP), assuming that R is a fixed portion of the economic output of the country. We have seen from Fig. 1 that the logarithm of recovery rate is negatively correlated with the size of infected population divided by PPP. It implies

that larger infected population would lead to less resource per infected individual, hence smaller chance to recover to susceptible state. In other words, the recovery rate is positively related to the amount of resource spent on each infected node. The amount of public resource could take various forms, and we consider the overall effect of the resource factor in terms of their influence on individual recovery rate. Accordingly, we express the individual recovery rate $\mu(t)$ as

$$\mu(t) = e^{-\rho(t)c/R}. \quad (4)$$

Here, c is the coefficient deciding the relative importance of ρ and R . For simplicity, we let $c = 1$ in the following discussions. Fitting of Eq. (4) onto the empirical data in Fig. 1 shows that the assumption captures the empirical behaviour reasonably well. The recovery rate in Eq. (4) has two essential properties: the value is constrained between 0 and 1 when R is positive; It is a continuous monotonic increasing function of R and decreasing function of $\rho(t)$, such that more total resource or less infected people sharing the resource results in faster recovery rate. These two properties reflect the basic relations between resource R and recover rate. Consequently, we developed a novel dynamical equation for infection probability of node i in our model:

$$\frac{dp_i(t)}{dt} = (1 - q_i(t))(1 - p_i(t)) - e^{-\rho(t)/R}p_i(t). \quad (5)$$

One of the main concerns can be addressed by this equation is to study the infected population size in the steady state, i.e. $\rho(\infty)$, and how it changes with the total amount of resource R .

To investigate the impact of resources, we simulate the model on the real sexual contact network [18]. Surprisingly, the model shows a bimodal outcome depending on the resource R available. As shown in Fig. 2 with $\rho(0) = 0.1$ and $\beta = 0.06$, the final infected population is either extremely widespread to a considerable fraction of the network, or only a few nodes to be infected. A tiny change in the resource variable R from 0.13 to 0.14 would make such a huge difference in $\rho(\infty)$. Such abrupt transition indicates that adequate resource is needed in fighting the disease epidemics, for it could bring a potentially catastrophic epidemic down to a tiny outbreak. This is a signature of 1st order phase transition related to the resource available. To be more exact, there is a critical $R_c \approx 0.135$ such that when $R < R_c$ the disease would spread to a significant fraction of the population as shown in region *I* in Fig. 2; whereas when $R > R_c$ the disease would be well contained within a negligible fraction of the population. Note that the critical resource R_c is dependent on the initial infected population size $\rho(0)$. As seen in Fig. 2c, as the initial infected population become larger, more resource is needed to contain the spreading in the controllable region *II*, where catastrophic outbreak will not happen.

The abrupt jump in infected population due to resource R is the first main finding in this paper. In addition to sexual contact network, we find the same abrupt

transition due to resource R in several other common social networks, including New Orleans' Facebook network in 2009, Twitter network and Weibo network (see SI section I). All of them exhibit the same behavior of abrupt jump as shown in Fig. 3a. In particular, the Facebook network is the online social network in the early years in the city of New Orleans, therefore it could be a approximation of real contact network. We also test the result on artificial networks like Erdős-Rényi and Scale Free networks, and they both exhibit the same abrupt jump also seen in Fig. 3b.

We show the abrupt jump size $J(R_c)$ v.s. β in Fig. 3c in all of the networks. One can see that when β is large enough, the size at the critical resource value R_c diminishes to 0. This means at large β values, the infected population size drops continuously with increases in invested resource (see Fig.S1 in SI), yet discontinuously when β is small. The boundary value β_b that separates the two behaviors is of much interest to us. In other words, β_b is the the lowest β value that the infection number changes continuously v.s. resource amount at R_c , i.e. the jump at R_c represented by $J(R_c)$ in Fig. 3c is 0.

In order to uncover the origin of the first order phase transition phenomenon, we use random regular networks as an illustration. Consider the simple case of random regular network with uniform degree k , every node is indistinguishable from each other, i.e. $p_i(t) = \rho(t)$. This is equivalent to the mean field approximation. The dynamical Eq. (5) can be reduced into:

$$\frac{d\rho(t)}{dt} = (1 - (1 - \beta\rho(t))^k)(1 - \rho(t)) - e^{-\rho(t)/R}\rho(t). \quad (6)$$

The final infected population required the solution of Eq. (6) at its steady state determined by $\frac{d\rho(t)}{dt} = 0$. Yet unlike the usual SIS dynamics, Eq. (6) could have multiple steady states (see SI section III).

One can visualize the system by introducing a potential function[27] constructed from Eq. (6) as:

$$E(\rho) = - \int \frac{d\rho(t)}{dt} d\rho. \quad (7)$$

This system has one unstable (local maximum or critical) point ρ_c and two stable fixed (local minimum) points $\rho_l(\infty)$ and $\rho_h(\infty)$ which are separated by the unstable point ρ_c as indicated in Fig. 4. As seen in Fig. 4a, if $\rho(0)$ is on the left of the critical point ρ_c , the infected population size evolves to the left low stable point at $\rho_l(\infty) = 0$; otherwise the system will evolve to the right high stable point of $\rho_h(\infty) = 0.89$. Therefore when R is fixed, the two phase behavior of final infection population $\rho(\infty)$ dependent on the initial infected population $\rho(0)$ is separated by the critical ρ_c as shown in Fig. 4b. That is for a given resource value R , when $\rho(0) < \rho_c$ the epidemic can be well controlled, otherwise the epidemic will outbreak and influence a significantly fraction of population. On the other hand, this also indicates the existence of a critical value R_c for the resource parameter R for each initial

condition $\rho(0)$. R_c can be obtained by finding the local maximum point though the following two equations:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\rho}(t) = \frac{d\rho(t)}{dt}|_{\rho(0)} = 0, \\ \frac{\partial \dot{\rho}(t)}{\partial \rho}|_{\rho(0)} < 0. \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

When the resource is below R_c , the disease will be widespread, otherwise it will be effectively contained.

Our second main finding is regarding heterogenous networks like many real networks (see SI section I) and scale free networks. In such networks, even if there is a single initial infected node ($\rho(0) \rightarrow 0$), a significant amount of resource is required to contain the disease. Fig. 5a shows the variation of R_c v.s. initial infection fraction $\rho(0)$ for different networks. For many real networks, the value of R_c at $\rho(0) \rightarrow 0$ is significantly larger than 0. This means even the disease has as few as 1 initial infected node, a considerable amount of resource needs to be set aside to contain it. To understand this phenomenon of non-trivial resource requirement, we analyze the dynamical properties of the phase diagram in Fig. 6. The curves in the figures are the numerical solutions of ρ (i.e. the average of simulated $p_i(\infty)$ values) in the dynamical Eq. (5) for sexual contact network, at different values of R . For both Fig. 6a and b, the red line represents the higher steady state solutions $\rho_h(\infty)$ and green line represents the lower solutions $\rho_l(\infty)$. The yellow line is the unstable steady solution ρ_c (see Fig.S3 in SI). Therefore if the initial fraction of infected population $\rho(0)$ is above the yellow line, the system would flow to the higher steady value on the red line; Otherwise, the system would flow to the lower steady state value on the green line. Thus for each ρ value (initial value $\rho(0)$ to be more accurate) in the plot, the yellow line defines the critical resource R_c . In Fig. 6b, the minimum value of $R_c = 0.13$ (horizontal coordinate of point S) is larger than zero, leading to a non-zero $R_c = 0.13$ value when $\rho(0) \in (0, 0.11)$ as seen in Fig. 5a. This also explains the observation in Fig. 5a that R_c value is flat between $\rho(0) \in (0, 0.11)$.

Our findings in Fig. 6 show 3 distinct behaviors of the infected population size ρ determined by the value of β . The three regions of β values are separated by β_c and β_b . Here β_c is the critical transmission probability in the original SIS dynamics[11] with the recovery rate equal to 1 (corresponding to $R = +\infty$ in our model). Simply speaking, this means every infected individual recovers in the next time step immediately. And in such a scenario, a disease needs to have a β larger than β_c to spread out. Therefore when $\beta < \beta_c$, increasing resource R can always help eradicate the disease spreading, i.e. $\rho_l(\infty) = 0$. Hence $\beta < \beta_c$ is the region of 1st order phase transition, corresponding to Fig. 6a. When $\beta > \beta_b$, the infected number changes continuously with R , and we call this region as the continuous phase represented in Fig. 6c. The most interesting region is $\beta_c < \beta < \beta_b$. In this region, since $\beta > \beta_c$, the disease can never be totally removed from the population, i.e. $\rho_l(\infty) > 0$. At the same time,

$\beta < \beta_b$ means as we increase resource R , the steady state $\rho(\infty)$ will at some point jump from the upper solution $\rho_h(\infty)$ to the lower solution $\rho_l(\infty) > 0$. We refer this region as *hybrid phase* shown in Fig. 6b. Fig. 5 illustrates the separation of the three distinct regions in scale free network (see Fig.S4 in SI), 4 real networks and random regular (RR) networks. Among them, we see that RR and ER networks do not have the hybrid phase region (see Fig.S2 in SI), i.e. $\beta_b = \beta_c$. To investigate the relationship between the presence of the hybrid phase and degree heterogeneity of the network, we carry out further analysis on scale free networks with different values of degree distribution exponent γ , which relates to the degree heterogeneity. As shown in Fig. 7, for the same value of β , as the degree heterogeneity decreases (with increasing γ), the systems evolves from 1st order to hybrid phase, and eventually to a continuous phase. We notice that when γ is small, the three phases (continuous, hybrid and 1st order) can exist over different values of β , whereas the hybrid phase disappears for large γ (Fig. 7).

Our result has critical implication on epidemic disease containment, and it is of two folds. First and foremost, the amount of public resource spent in controlling the disease needs to be more than a critical value, otherwise any amount devoted below this level would be wasted without substantial impact on the spreading containment. Any additional amount of resource far above a critical level

would only bring marginal benefit to the containment, as our simulations have indicated that the reduction in final infected population is indeed small. Secondly, because many contact networks such as sexual contact networks and social networks are heterogeneous, to effectively contain an epidemic disease, even if the initial number of spreaders is very small, we need to set aside a significant amount of resource to contain it.

Although the continuous phase exists according to our analysis, the most fatal diseases measured by the *Case Fatality Rate* like Ebola [28] and HIV [29] are among the least infectious in terms of the *Basic Reproduction Number* ($\beta(k)$). Therefore these most fatal diseases tend to have abrupt transitions according to our results, meaning that even a little inadequacy in devoted public resource would lead to catastrophic outbreaks having considerable casualties. Moreover, during the onset of a disease outbreak, public awareness and self-protection measures could significantly reduce human contact, which is equivalent to reducing the disease transmission. This will also would bring the system to a first order transition phase. Therefore abrupt changes may be more common and relevant in practice. In such cases it is of extreme importance to investigate the adequacy of resource in fighting the epidemic disease, preventing it from evolving into a large scale pandemic.

-
- [1] Dirk Helbing, “Globally networked risks and how to respond,” *Nature* **497**, 51–59 (2013).
 - [2] Lars Hufnagel, Dirk Brockmann, and Theo Geisel, “Forecast and control of epidemics in a globalized world,” *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **101**, 15124–15129 (2004).
 - [3] Vittoria Colizza, Alain Barrat, Marc Barthélemy, and Alessandro Vespignani, “The role of the airline transportation network in the prediction and predictability of global epidemics,” *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **103**, 2015–2020 (2006).
 - [4] Shantayanan Devarajan Bell, Clive and Hans Gersbach, “The long-run economic costs of aids: theory and an application to south africa,” *World Bank Policy Research Working Paper*, 3152 (2003).
 - [5] Duane J Gubler, “Epidemic dengue/dengue hemorrhagic fever as a public health, social and economic problem in the 21st century,” *Trends in microbiology* **10**, 100–103 (2002).
 - [6] John Luke Gallup and Jeffrey D Sachs, “The economic burden of malaria,” *The American journal of tropical medicine and hygiene* **64**, 85–96 (2001).
 - [7] Simon Stewart, N Murphy, A Walker, A McGuire, and JJV McMurray, “Cost of an emerging epidemic: an economic analysis of atrial fibrillation in the uk,” *Heart* **90**, 286–292 (2004).
 - [8] Frederick M Burkle, “Operationalizing public health skills to resource poor settings: is this the achilles heel in the ebola epidemic campaign?” *Disaster medicine and public health preparedness* **9**, 44–46 (2015).
 - [9] Richard J Webby and Robert G Webster, “Are we ready for pandemic influenza?” *Science* **302**, 1519–1522 (2003).
 - [10] WHO Ebola Response Team *et al.*, “Ebola virus disease in west africa—the first 9 months of the epidemic and forward projections,” *N Engl J Med* **371**, 1481–95 (2014).
 - [11] Romualdo Pastor-Satorras and Alessandro Vespignani, “Epidemic spreading in scale-free networks,” *Physical review letters* **86**, 3200 (2001).
 - [12] Roni Parshani, Shai Carmi, and Shlomo Havlin, “Epidemic threshold for the susceptible-infectious-susceptible model on random networks,” *Physical review letters* **104**, 258701 (2010).
 - [13] C Lagorio, Mark Dickison, F Vazquez, Lidia A Braunstein, Pablo A Macri, MV Migueles, Shlomo Havlin, and H Eugene Stanley, “Quarantine-generated phase transition in epidemic spreading,” *Physical Review E* **83**, 026102 (2011).
 - [14] Marián Boguná, Romualdo Pastor-Satorras, and Alessandro Vespignani, “Absence of epidemic threshold in scale-free networks with degree correlations,” *Physical review letters* **90**, 028701 (2003).
 - [15] Dirk Brockmann and Dirk Helbing, “The hidden geometry of complex, network-driven contagion phenomena,” *Science* **342**, 1337–1342 (2013).
 - [16] Dan Wohlfeiler and John J Potterat, “Using gay men’s sexual networks to reduce sexually transmitted disease (std)/human immunodeficiency virus (hiv) transmission,” *Sexually transmitted diseases* **32**, S48–S52 (2005).
 - [17] Neil M Ferguson, Derek AT Cummings, Christophe

- Fraser, James C Cajka, Philip C Cooley, and Donald S Burke, “Strategies for mitigating an influenza pandemic,” *Nature* **442**, 448–452 (2006).
- [18] Luis EC Rocha, Fredrik Liljeros, and Petter Holme, “Simulated epidemics in an empirical spatiotemporal network of 50,185 sexual contacts,” *PLoS Comput Biol* **7**, e1001109 (2011).
- [19] Abhishek Pandey, Katherine E Atkins, Jan Medlock, Natasha Wenzel, Jeffrey P Townsend, James E Childs, Tolbert G Nyenswah, Martial L Ndeffo-Mbah, and Alison P Galvani, “Strategies for containing ebola in west africa,” *Science* **346**, 991–995 (2014).
- [20] Phenyio E Lekone and Bärbel F Finkenstädt, “Statistical inference in a stochastic epidemic seir model with control intervention: Ebola as a case study,” *Biometrics* **62**, 1170–1177 (2006).
- [21] Lucas Böttcher, Olivia Woolley-Meza, Nuno AM Araújo, Hans J Herrmann, and Dirk Helbing, “Disease-induced resource constraints can trigger explosive epidemics,” *Scientific reports* **5** (2015).
- [22] Niall PAS Johnson and Juergen Mueller, “Updating the accounts: global mortality of the 1918-1920 spanish influenza pandemic,” *Bulletin of the History of Medicine* **1**, 105–115 (2002).
- [23] Klaus Stöhr, “The global agenda on influenza surveillance and control,” *Vaccine* **21**, 1744–1748 (2003).
- [24] Christophe Fraser, Christl A Donnelly, Simon Cauchemez, William P Hanage, Maria D Van Kerkhove, T Déirdre Hollingsworth, Jamie Griffin, Rebecca F Baggaley, Helen E Jenkins, Emily J Lyons, *et al.*, “Pandemic potential of a strain of influenza a (h1n1): early findings,” *science* **324**, 1557–1561 (2009).
- [25] Claudio Castellano and Romualdo Pastor-Satorras, “Thresholds for epidemic spreading in networks,” *Physical review letters* **105**, 218701 (2010).
- [26] S Gómez, A Arenas, J Borge-Holthoefer, S Meloni, and Y Moreno, “Discrete-time markov chain approach to contact-based disease spreading in complex networks,” *EPL (Europhysics Letters)* **89**, 38009 (2010).
- [27] Tim Poston and Ian Stewart, *Catastrophe theory and its applications* (Courier Corporation, 2014).
- [28] Gerardo Chowell and Hiroshi Nishiura, “Transmission dynamics and control of ebola virus disease (evd): a review,” *BMC medicine* **12**, 196 (2014).
- [29] P Garnett, Eoeff, “The basic reproductive rate of infection and the course of hiv epidemics,” *AIDS patient care and STDs* **12**, 435–449 (1998).
- [30] Anthony Christopher Davison and David Victor Hinkley., *Bootstrap methods and their application*, Vol. 1 (Cambridge university press, 1997).
- [31] Luis EC Rocha, Fredrik Liljeros, and Petter Holme, “Simulated epidemics in an empirical spatiotemporal network of 50,185 sexual contacts,” *PLoS Comput Biol* **7**, e1001109 (2011).
- [32] <http://journals.plos.org/ploscompbiol/article?id=10.1371/journal.pcbi.1001109>.
- [33] Bimal Viswanath, Alan Mislove, Meeyoung Cha, and Krishna P. Gummadi, “On the evolution of user interaction in facebook,” in *Proceedings of the 2nd ACM SIGCOMM Workshop on Social Networks (WOSN’09)* (2009).
- [34] <http://socialnetworks.mpi-sws.org/>.
- [35] Julian J McAuley and Jure Leskovec, “Learning to discover social circles in ego networks.” in *NIPS*, Vol. 2012 (2012) pp. 548–56.
- [36] <http://snap.stanford.edu/data/egonets-Twitter.html>.
- [37] Yanqing Hu, Shengong Ji, Ling Feng, Shlomo Havlin, and Yuliang Jin, “Optimizing locally the spread of influence in large scale online social networks,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1509.03484* (2015).
- [38] http://www.who.int/gho/epidemic_diseases/cholera/en/.
- [39] [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_countries_by_GDP_\(PPP\)_per_capita](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_countries_by_GDP_(PPP)_per_capita).
- [40] <http://data.worldbank.org/indicator/NY.GDP.PCAP.PP.CD>.
- [41] <http://data.worldbank.org/indicator/SP.POP.TOTL>.




FIG. 1. Cholera recovery rate μ v.s. the size of infected population ρ divided by PPP. Each yellow point corresponds to a particular country, and the plot is done over 3 consecutive years 1994 - 1996. The green squares are the average values of each bin of different ρ/PPP values. Here we employ bootstrap method to do the estimation [30]. There is a clear negative correlation between the log value of the recovery rate and the density of infected population for all years observed. The orange straight lines are the fittings of Eq. (4) on the green squares. The detailed information is in SI section I.




FIG. 2. Catastrophic epidemic spreading due to inadequate resource R . The simulation is carried out on the real sexual contact network with $\rho(0) = 0.1$ and $\beta = 0.06$. a and b, The yellow, red and blue nodes are the initially infected, finally infected and susceptible nodes respectively. With a small change of R from 0.13 to 0.14, the infected population decreases drastically. This is evident of a first order phase transition in epidemics spreading. c. The critical amount of resource R_c that separates the two phases is dependent on the initial fraction of infected population $\rho(0)$. As $\rho(0)$ increases, the minimum amount of resource R_c also increases to ensure the disease is not widespread. The open circles and dotted lines are simulation and theory results respectively.




FIG. 3. Catastrophic outbreaks in different networks due to resource inadequacy. With increasing amount of resource R devoted to constrain the spreading, the final infected population size $\rho(\infty)$ suddenly drops to much lower values for both real networks in (a) and artificial networks in (b). The initial infection fraction $\rho(0) = 0.4$ and spreading probability $\beta = 0.01$. The symbols and dashed lines are simulation and theory results respectively. (c) Size of jump $J(R_c)$ in $\rho(\infty)$ at the critical resource value R_c . If $J(R_c) = 0$, it means there is a continuous change in the outbreak size with increasing amount of resource devoted. For both real and artificial networks, as the infection rate β increases, the catastrophic jump behavior disappears, switching to a continuous phase.




FIG. 4. Two-phase behavior with respect to the initial infection fraction $\rho(0)$. a. Stability discussion from potential energy perspective. The local minima correspond to the stable steady states and the local maximum is the unstable steady state. b. The time evolution of $\rho(t)$ with different value of initial $\rho(0)$. Depending on the initial infection fraction, the final infection fraction will converge to one of the two stable points.




FIG. 5. Non-triviality of critical resource amount and multi-phase behaviors. (a) For an epidemic disease even with close to 0 fraction of initial spreaders, R_c is significantly larger than 0. This means even there is only one initial spreader of the disease, there is a need to set aside at least R_c amount of resource to contain it. Here $\beta = 0.01$ for Facebook, Twitter, Weibo and Scale-free networks, and $\beta = 0.06$ for Sexual contact network. (b), (c) and (d) show the critical resource R_c vs β when $\rho(0) \rightarrow 0$ ($\rho(0)$ is very small). This curve has different behaviours in different phase regimes. We can see the multi-phases of scale-free, real networks and random regular networks. While random regular (RR) network has only two phases - one having a first order transition and one with only continuous change, the scale free network has an additional phase of hybrid transition, in which the infected population abruptly jumps from a non-zero value to a larger value.




FIG. 6. Dynamical stability origin of multi-phase behaviors on the sexual contact network. For both (a) The first order transition phase and (b) the hybrid transition phase, the red solid line represents the high stable solution of $\rho_h(\infty)$, the green solid line represents the low stable solution $\rho_l(\infty)$, and the yellow dash line represents the unstable solution corresponding to R_c at different values of $\rho(0)$ for each R value. In (b), point S is the lowest point of the yellow dashed line, which means that it leads to a non-zero value of $R_c = 0.13$ when initial condition is $\rho(0) \in (0, 0.11)$. Such non-zero R_c when $\rho(0) \rightarrow 0$ can be observed in Fig. 5c point A . For the continuous phase (c), there is only one stable solution of ρ . Hence there is no abrupt change in the dynamics.




FIG. 7. Phase regimes of the system with different infection rate β and power law exponent γ on scale free networks. Both hybrid and first order phases have abrupt jump in $\rho(\infty)$ when the amount of resource R decreases. The jump in the first order phase starts from 0 whereas the one in the hybrid phase starts from a positive value. As the infection rate increases, the system switches to a continuous phase in which no abrupt jump is observed.

I. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

A. Data sets

In this section, we list the detail information about the four online social networks (OSNs) and the sexual contact network. Besides, the information about the empirical data is also listed in the end.

(1) Sexual Contact Network: The full data set containing the sexual network [31] is downloaded from ref. [32]. It records 50,185 contacts between 6,642 escorts and 10,106 sex buyers.

(2) New Orleans Facebook network The New Orleans Facebook network [33] is downloaded from the Social Computing Group [34]. The network contains 63392 nodes and 1633772 edges.

(3) Twitter Network The social network of Twitter [35] is downloaded from Stanford Large Network Dataset Collection [36]. There are 81306 nodes and 2684606 edges respectively in the network.

(4) Weibo Network Weibo data set records the network data of Sina Weibo (<http://www.weibo.com>) users in Macau, which was crawled in October 2012 [37]. The network contains 24023 nodes and 186753 edges.

(5) Cholera Data Sets The data sets of reported cholera cases and deaths (Global Health Observatory (GHO) data) are downloaded from the web site of the World Health Organization (WHO) [38].

(6) GDP (PPP) per capita The *gross domestic product* (at purchasing power parity) per capita is the purchasing power parity (PPP) value of all final goods and services produced within a country in a given year, divided by the average (or mid-year) population for the same year [39]. This data set is downloaded from the World Bank [40].

(7) Population Data Population of all over the world is recorded in the web site of the World Bank [41].

B. Additional Figures

As discussed in the main manuscript, the inclusion of resource constraint could introduce an abrupt phase transition into the spreading dynamics for networks. We have carried out a detailed simulation analysis on real and artificial networks to demonstrate this behavior. The networks we use are Facebook and Twitter, while the artificial networks are scale free network and random regular network, as shown in figure 8. To have an understanding on the influence of topological structure on the the phase transition behavior, we carry out simulations on ER network with different average degrees $\langle k \rangle$ as presented in figure 9.

The origin of the abrupt jump and hybrid phases can be understood from the dynamical stability analysis on the solutions of equation 5 in the main manuscript. At different values of β , equation 5 yields different number of solutions at different values of $\rho(0)$ and R . We calculate the numerical results of equation 5 on both the Facebook network and scale free network to demonstrate this in figure 10 and figure 11.

C. The analysis of first order phase transition on RR networks

In this section we will prove that $\frac{d\rho(t)}{dt} = 0$ has at most three solutions in $[0, 1]$ and one solution is 0 and the other two solutions are in $(0, 1)$. We also will give the sufficient conditions for the existence of three solutions which correspond the existence of first order phase transition on RR networks.

For simplicity, we omit the symbol ∞ of $\rho(\infty)$ in the following derivation process. First, we introduce the function $f(\rho) = -(1 - (1 - \beta\rho)^k)(1 - \rho) + \rho e^{-\frac{\rho}{R}}$. Obviously, $f(0) = 0$, $f(1) > 0$. Consider

$$f_1(\rho) = (1 - (1 - \beta\rho)^k)(1 - \rho), \quad f_2(\rho) = \rho e^{-\frac{\rho}{R}}.$$

It is obvious that

$$f_1(0) = 0, \quad f_1(1) = 0, \quad f_2(0) = 0, \quad f_2(1) = e^{-\frac{1}{R}}.$$

The following conditions are sufficient for only two intersection points of the two curves f_1 and f_2 in $(0, 1)$.




FIG. 8. Discontinuous jump and continuous change of the steady state infection fraction $\rho(\infty)$ on social network of Facebook (a) and Twitter (b), and random network of scale-free (c) and random regular (d). The red, green and blue symbols in the figures are the simulation results at increasing values of β . As β increases, the abrupt jump in $\rho(\infty)$ disappears. When β is small (in red), we see a sudden drop of steady state infection fraction $\rho(\infty)$ as the resource R increases. There is a difference among the networks. While Facebook and RR networks show a drop to $\rho(\infty) = 0$, meaning the disease is eradicated, Twitter and SF show a drop to $\rho(\infty) > 0$, and $\rho(\infty)$ continues to decrease slowly after that. The later corresponds to a hybrid phase transition behavior, which we explain in more details in the next section. As the β values becomes larger (green and blue), the abrupt jump disappears, and the change in $\rho(\infty)$ becomes continuous with increasing R . For all of the networks studied here, the abrupt change disappears with increasing β value. For the Facebook network, there are 63392 nodes and 1633772 edges; Twitter network has 81306 nodes and 2684606 edges. The network sizes are both $N = 20000$ in the scale-free network and random regular network. The minimum and maximum node degrees are $k_{min} = 10$ and $k_{max} = 300$ for the scale free network.

(1). $\beta < \frac{1}{k}$. By $f'_1(\rho) = -1 + (1 - \beta\rho)^k + k\beta(1 - \beta\rho)^{k-1}(1 - \rho)$, $f'_2(\rho) = e^{-\frac{\rho}{k}} - \frac{\rho}{R}e^{-\frac{\rho}{k}}$, the condition (1) implies that $f'_2(0) > f'_1(0)$.

(2). $f_1(\rho_v) > f_2(\rho_v)$, where ρ_v is s only one extreme point of f_1 in $(0, 1)$.

First, we prove that f_1 is single convex. Solve

$$-1 + (1 - \beta\rho)^k + k\beta(1 - \beta\rho)^{k-1}(1 - \rho) = 0.$$

Suppose $x = 1 - \beta\rho$. Then

$$g(x) = (k+1)x^k - k(1 - \beta)x^{k-1} - 1 = 0$$

Obviously $g(0) = -1$, $g(1) = k\beta > 0$. By

$$g'(x) = k(k+1)x^{k-1} - k(k-1)(1 - \beta)x^{k-2},$$

$$g'\left(\frac{(k-1)(1-\beta)}{k+1}\right) = 0.$$

we know the following information:

g monotonously decreases from $g(0) = -1$ to $g\left(\frac{(k-1)(1-\beta)}{k+1}\right) < 0$ and monotonously increases from $g\left(\frac{(k-1)(1-\beta)}{k+1}\right) < 0$ to




FIG. 9. Phase regimes of ER network. The solid line represents the boundary between the first order transition phase (red) and continuous phase (green). We see that larger average degree $\langle k \rangle$ results in a continuous phase, whereas smaller average degree results in abrupt change. The network size $N = 20000$.




FIG. 10. Phase analysis. This figure is the same with Fig.6 in the main text. Here we show how to obtain the two stable solutions $\rho_l(\infty)$, $\rho_h(\infty)$ and the unstable solution ρ_c in real network. To obtain these three line segments, we simulate the spreading process for a given $(\rho(0), R)$. That is, for each node i we randomly set an infected probability $p_i(0)$ initially which determines the initial infection fraction $\rho(0)$. Then we run the dynamic equation 5 numerically for long enough time to get the steady state $\rho(\infty)$. We do this for each point in $(\rho(0), R)$ space and can get the two curves of $\rho_l(\infty)$ and $\rho_h(\infty)$. If the ρ value goes to high stable point $\rho_h(\infty)$, we label the point $(\rho(0), R)$ as red and otherwise as green. The boundary between the red and green regions denotes the unstable points ρ_c which is denoted by the yellow dashed curve. The arrow represents the direction of flow for the infection ratio $\rho(t)$.

$$g(1) = k\beta > 0.$$

It tells us the fact that there is unique zero point of g' between $\frac{(k-1)(1-\beta)}{k+1}$ and 1, which implies that

$$\frac{(k-1)(1-\beta)}{k+1} < 1 - \beta\rho_v < 1,$$

that is $0 < \rho_v < \frac{2+(k-1)\beta}{(k+1)\beta}$. So, f_1 is single convex. It is noteworthy that $\rho_v = \rho_v(\beta, k)$, which means that the condition




FIG. 11. Similar plots as figure 10, but carried out on scale free network. The same three types of dynamical stability phenomenon is found. The network has $N = 20000$, $k_{min} = 10$, $k_{max} = 300$ and the power exponent $\gamma = 2.2$.

(2) decides a condition of β , k and R . For example, for $k = 1$, $\rho_v = \frac{1}{2}$ and we have

$$f_1(\rho_v) > f_2(\rho_v) \Rightarrow \beta > 2e^{-\frac{1}{2R}}.$$

For $R > 1$, f_2 is monotonously increasing. For $R \in (0, 1)$, it is monotonously increasing on the left side and decreasing to $e^{-\frac{1}{R}}$ on the right side, which means that f_2 is single convex. By the conditions (1) and (2), there must be only two intersection points of the two curves f_1 and f_2 in $(0, 1)$.




FIG. 12. Schematic diagram for the two curves $f_1(\rho)$ and $f_2(\rho)$. P_A and P_B are two intersection points of the two curves, and ρ_l , ρ_h corresponds to the low stable point and high stable point of ρ mentioned in Section B of the main manuscript. ρ_c , ρ_v are the unstable point and extreme point respectively. Parameters are the same as those in Fig.4.

Now, we provide a veto condition. Since the decreasing rate of $f_1(\rho)$ is not more than that of $f_2(\rho)$ at $\rho = 1$, $f_2'(1) > f_1'(1)$ which means

$$(1 - \beta)^k < 1 - \frac{1 - R}{R} e^{\frac{1}{R}} \quad (i)$$

For $R > 1$, (i) always holds.

Consider $R \in (0, 1)$. when $R = \frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1-R}{R} e^{\frac{1}{R}}$ gets the minimum value e^{-2} . By the veto condition of (i), we have

$$(1 - \beta)^k \geq 1 - e^{-2} \geq 1 - \frac{1 - R}{R} e^{\frac{1}{R}},$$

that is

$$0 < \beta \leq 1 - (1 - e^{-2})^{\frac{1}{k}}.$$

Together with all above, the conditions (1) and (2) can be processed by the following two steps.

Step 1. For given $\beta \in \left(1 - (1 - e^{-2})^{\frac{1}{k}}, \frac{1}{k}\right]$, ρ_v can be obtained from $x = 1 - \beta\rho$ by numerical approximation of

$$(k+1)x^k - k(1-\beta)x^{k-1} - 1 = 0$$

in $\left[\frac{(k-1)(1-\beta)}{k+1}, 1\right]$.

Step 2. By $f_1(\rho_v) > f_2(\rho_v)$, the range of R can be obtained.

We assert that the conditions (i) and (ii) are sufficient for that f has only two non-zero solutions in $\rho \in (0, 1)$. That is to say (i) and (ii) are sufficient for the system has two solutions in $\rho \in (0, 1)$. With the 0 solution, it has 3 solutions in $[0, 1]$. The middle one corresponds the unstable point ρ_c and the other two solutions are $\rho_l(\infty)$ and $\rho_h(\infty)$. Thus, the first order phase transition occurs under the condition (i) and (ii) in RR networks.
